	Case 2.08-cv-01302-JCW-RJJ Document 44 Filed 12/29/11 Page 1 0i 2
1 2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	DARRYL LLOYD WHITE,
7	Plaintiff, Case No. 2:08-cv-1302-JCM-RJJ
8	vs. ORDER
9	GLEN WHORTON, et al.,
10	
11	Presently before the court is the case White v. Whorton, et al. (case number 2:08-cv-01302). This
12	court previously dismissed plaintiff's complaint, finding that he had failed to exhaust his administrative
13	remedies. On appeal, the Ninth Circuit vacated this court's order dismissing the complaint. See doc.
14	#37. The Ninth Circuit found that this court had relied on an unverified inmate appeal report, and that
15	more evidence, such as a declaration describing the report's import or completeness, was required to
16	meet the defendants' burden to show nonexhaustion. See id. Accordingly, the Ninth Circuit remanded
17	the matter to this court, so that the parties could have an opportunity to supply additional evidence
18	regarding exhaustion.
19	Neither party has supplied any such evidence to this court. Instead, plaintiff has filed a motion
20	for an order "ordering the defendants to answer the complaint." See doc. #43.
21	The court finds that plaintiff, proceeding pro se, is endeavoring to faithfully prosecute his case.
22	However, rather than an answer to the complaint, what is required at this stage is supplemental briefing
23	and additional evidence regarding plaintiff's exhaustion, or failure to exhaust, administrative remedies.
24	Accordingly,
25	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, consistent with the Ninth
26	Circuit's memorandum disposition, defendants shall submit supplemental briefs and/or evidence

	Case 2:08-cv-01302-JCM-RJJ Document 44 Filed 12/29/11 Page 2 of 2
1	regarding plaintiff's exhaustion of administrative remedies within fifteen (15) days of the issuance o
2	this order. Plaintiff shall then have an additional fifteen (15) days to submit any countervailing evidence
3	in response to defendants' submission.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff's motion for an
5	order requiring an answer to the complaint (doc. #43) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED as moot.
6	DATED: December 29, 2011.
7	
8	LINETER STATES DISTRICT HIDGE
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	